MARTIN WALTERS E-86183 C.S.P. - SOLANO 6-206 P.O. BOX 4000 VACAVILLE, CA 95696 IN Pro SE

FILED

2007 DEC 21 AM 10: 56

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY RO DÉPUT

NUNC PRO TUNC

DEC 19 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN E. WALTERS
PETITIONER,

VS.

D. K. Sisto, Warden, Respondent CASE NO: OTCUZZ36

MOTION TO RECONSIDER DISMISSING
PETITIONER'S 28 U.S.C. § 2241, HABEAS
CORPUS PETITION; REQUEST TO PROCEED
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241; AND DECLARATION;
With 3 exhibits.

ON 12-7-2007, PETITIONER RECEIVED AN ORDER FROM THIS COURT DISMISSING, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, HIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241; AND DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTIONS AS MOOT. (SEE ATTACHED AND INCORPORATED "ORDER" AS EXHIBIT A.)

THIS HONORABLE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS

I [H]E IS "A STATE PRISONER" AND

2. "ATTACKING THE VALIDITY OF A STATE COURT CONVICTION

AND SENTENCE". (SEE PG. 1:21-22)

BASED UPON THESE FINDINGS, THE COURT, CONCLUDED PETITIONER "MAY ONLY PROCEED WITH A HABEAS ACTION IN FEDERAL COURT UNDER 28 U.S.C. \$ 2254." (SEE EXHIBIT A PG 1:23-24.)

PETITIONER IS A LAYMAN AT LAW, AND INARTICULATE.

HOWEVER WITH THE EXCEPTION OF GROUND 2 (CLAIMING THE STATE STATUTE UNDER WHICH PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INVALID) PETITIONER THOUGHT HE IS ATTACKING "THE EXECUTION" OF HIS SENTENCE, NOT"THE VALIDITY OF HIS CONVICTION AND SENTENCES". THUS, PETITIONER CONCLUDED THAT 28 U.S.C. \$ 2241 WAS THE APPROPRIATE APPLICATION.

PETITIONER IS UNDER THE PRESUMPTION THAT DESPITE THE INEXORABLE FACT THAT HIS GUILTY PLEA (CONVICTION)

WAS OBTAINED BY UNCONSTITUTIONAL MEANS, MATIL HE WAS

ACTUALLY DEPRIVED OF THE ACTS, C. g. OFFICIAL REFUSAL TO

PERFORM. PETITIONER MAY ONLY ASK THIS COURT TO ENFORCE THE

TERMS AND CONCOMMITANTS OF PETITIONER'S PLEA AGREEMENT.

IS THIS COURT SUGGESTING THAT THE ONLY WAY PETITIONER CAN ENFORCE THE TERMS OR CONCOMMITANTS THERE FROM, THIS PLEA AGREEMENT, IS TO CLAIM THAT HIS PLEA IS BROKEN AND THUS VOID?

PLEASE ALLOW ME A MOMENT TO EXPLAIN, AT THIS STAGE PETITIONER IS SEEKING TO RECEIVE THE "MULTITURISDICTION PRISONER INITIAL PAROLE HEARING" PERSUANT TO CAL. CODE OF REG'S TITLE 15 \$ 2370, AS THE PROVISION OF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES PRESUMED ON 5-9-90, PETITIONER KNOWS THAT THIS PARTICULAR HEARING, INTER ALIA, IS WHAT WAS THE EFFECTUATING LAW THAT ENSURED PETITIONER'S FREEDOM (NOT PAROLE CONSIDERATION) AT THE EXPIRATION OF PETITIONER'S FEDERAL

28

25

26

27

4.

11

12

13

.15

16

17

21

SENTENCE. THUS, PETITIONER PRESUMES THIS HEARING IS A CONCOMMITANT OBLIGATION FLOWING FROM HIS PLEA BARGAIN, AND TECHNICALLY MAY BE ENFORCABLE WITHOUT COLLATERALLY ATTACKING PETITIONER'S CONVICTION. TRUE OR UNTRUE! PETITIONER DOES NOT KNOW IF THIS IS POSSIBLE, HE DOES RECOGNIZE THAT THE COURT IN SANTOBELLO OVERTURNED THE CONVICTION, YET, IN OTHER CASES, THE COURTS (9TH CIR.) GAUE CHOICES OF RECISSION OR SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND THE SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OPTION KEPT THE CONVICTIONS INTACT. THUS, PETITIONER REASONABLY CONCLUDED THAT HE MAY SEEK SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE WITHOUT NEEDING TO CHALLENGE HIS CONVICTION. BECAUSE THE QUID PRO QUO, OF WAVING HIS MOST VALUABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF TRIAL ETC., WHICH BECAME AN CONCESSION 13 THAT PETITIONER WAS BOUND TO LIVE WITH , IN EXCHANGE FOR, NOT ONLY THE STATES PROMISES, BUT THEIR OBLIGATIONS TO .15 FULFILL THOSE INDUCEMENTS A.K.A. ( THE CONCOMMITANTS ) 16 FLOWING THERE FROM. FURTHER, THE COURT HELD THAT CRIMINAL 17 DEFENDANTS HAVE A DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO ENFORCE THE TERMS 18 OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT. THE PRINCIPAL RULE IS SILENT ON 19 WHEATHER THAT RIGHT REQUIRES THE TENSION BETWEEN THE VALIDITY OF THE CONVICTION AND THE REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL ORDER ENFORCING THOSE TERMS, PROMISES, INDUCEMENTS AND CONCOMMITANT OBLIGATIONS. 23

#### CONCLUSSION

24

25

PETITIONER CONCLUDES THAT HIS MULTITURISDICTION PRISONER (STATUS) INITIAL PAROLE HEARING IS IN FACT A CONCOMMITANT OBLIGATION, IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS SENTENCE, THAT AROSE FROM THE PLEA BARGAIN, AND SO IS CONCURRENT TIME AND

WHOSE CUSTODY (FEDERAL) PETITIONER WOULD BE SERVED IN. ( PLEASE SEE EXHIBIT B ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED 2 HEREIN BY REFERENCE) THUS, HE ASKS THIS COURT TO RECONSIDER 3 ITS PRIOR ORDERS AND ALLOW HIM TO PROCEED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. & 2241, UNTIL THE STATE REFUSES TO PERFORM ITS OBLIGATIONS. THEN PETITIONER WOULD LIKE TO COLLATERALLY ATTACK THE CONVICTION AND SENTENCE. IS THIS ACCEPTABLE? 7 Petitioner's situation is unique, the provisions and promises of 8 Petitioner's plea agreement show, he is supposed to be in federal custody, and in petitioner's mind he is a federal in-10 mate housed in a State prison, who wants to go back to a federal prison. 11 Despite these facts petitioner will prepare and submit a 28 U.S.C. 12 § 2254 application attacking the Validity of conviction despite 13 14 the fact he wanted to simply enforce the pleas Terms. .15 ( with exception of ground 2). 16 11 17 .... 18 19 111 111 20 11 / 21 22 I, Martin E. Walters, declare under Penalty of Perjury that 23 24 The foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge 25

Executed on December 10,2007, in Vacaville California.

Melin Wath Martin E. Walters Declarant

28

26

27

# EXHIBIT COVER PAGE:

Exhibit:	
Description of this exhibit:	·
Number of pages of this exhibit:	pages !
	العطاق استطاق الدينيا
JURISDICTION: (Check only one)	
Municipal Court	
Superior Court	
Appellate Court	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State Supreme Court	
United States District Court	
United States Circuit Court	
United States Supreme Court	
California Department of Correct	ctions, 602 Exhibit.
Other:	

	Case 3:07-cv-02236-JLS-LSP Document 6	Filed 12/21/2007	Page 6 of 18			
	•					
1		••				
2			÷			
3	,					
4	•					
5		•				
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORN	IA	-		
10						
11	MARTIN EDWARD WALTERS,	CASE NO. 070	CV2236			
12	Petitioner,	ORDER: (1) D	DISMISSING			
13	vs.	WITHOUT PI PETITION FO	OR WRIT OF	,		
14	·	MOTION FO	RPUS; (2) DENYING R LEAVE TO	<u> </u>		
15	DK SISTO, WARDEN, CSP SOLANO	AS MOOT: A	FORMA PAUPERI ND (3) DENYING	1		
16	Respondent.	MOTION TO AS MOOT	APPOINT COUNSE	L		
17			- •			
18	Detition or a mission or proposeding the submitted a netition for writ of habeas					
19	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. No	. 1], together with a mo	tion for leave to proc	eed		
20	i C I C No. No. 21 and a motion to appoint counsel [Doc No. 3.]					
21	Although Positioner filed this action pursuant to 28 IIS C & 2241 he is a state prisoner					
22	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
23	The Company of the Continuous and the Continuous of the Proceed with a haheas action					
24	C 1 1 1 20 11 C C C 2054 White v. Lembert 270 F 2d 1002 1006-07 (9th Cir 2004)					
25	(holding that Section 2254 is the proper jurisdi	ctional basis for a habe	as petition brought by	y an		
26	individual "in custody pursuant to a state court ju	dgment"). Section 2254	is properly understoo	d as		
27	" CC 1 1 1 CF - 2 the second enemt of help	eas corpus authority fou	ind in § 2241 as long as	s the		
28	person is in custody pursuant to the judgment of a	state court, and not in st	ate custody for some o	ther		
				l		

-1-

Case	3:07-cv-02236-JLS-LSP Document #: 4-1 Date Filed: 11/30/2007 Page 2 of 2 Case 3:07-cv-02236-JLS-LSP Document 6 Filed 12/21/2007 Page 7 of 18
1	reason, such as pre-conviction custody, custody awaiting extradition, or other forms of custody that
2	are possible without a conviction." [citations omitted.] <u>Id.</u> at 1006 (quoting <u>Walker v. O'Brien</u> , 216
3	F.3d 626, 633 (7th Cir. 2000) (emphasis in original).
4	Therefore, this Court <b>DISMISSES</b> without prejudice the petition for habeas corpus, <b>DENIES</b>
5	the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis as moot, and DENIES the motion to appoint
6	counsel as moot.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	
9	DATED: November 30, 2007
10	Honorable Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 2 -

0245S (3/88) JUDGMENT IN CUPING	SENTENCE UNDER THE 1/2 TENCIN	G REFORM ACT
	STATES DISTRICT COURT	ENTERED
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FOR THE	LODGED
SOUTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	JAN 17 1991
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	of the figure of the second of	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CAMPORNIA
<b>v.</b>		UDING SENTENCE DEPUTY
MARTIN EDWARD WALTERS (1)	UNDER THE SENTE CASE NO. 88-0	NCING REFORM ACT
THE DEFENDANT:	JAMES POKORNY ATTORNEY FO	R DEFENDANT
XX pleaded guilty to count(s	ONE (1), TEN (10) and THIRT	ANNATE COPY
<pre>was found guilty on count after a plea of not guilt</pre>	(s)	
Title & Section 21 USC 841(a)(1) & 846  18 USC 2 & 26 USC 5861(d) and 5871 18 USC 2 & 924(c)(1)	Nature of Offense  CONSP. TO MANUFACTURE METHAMPHETAMINE AND POSSESS METHAMPHETAMINE W/INT TO DIST AIDING AND ABETTING THE POSSI OF AN UNREGISTERED FIREARM AIDING AND ABETTING THE USE A CARRYING OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A DRUG	ESSION TEN(10)
The defendant is sentenced as judgment. The sentence is imposed pursua	TRAFFICKING CRIME provided in pages 2 through	
The defendant has been for	und not guilty on count(s)	
XX Count(s) OF S/S INDICTMENT motion of the United State	REMAINING kksk(are) di	
XXX The underlying indictment	is dismissed on motion of the	United States.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney for this district wit or mailing address until all fathis judgment are fully paid.	the defendant shall notify thin 30 days of any change of ines, restitution(s), and cos	his/her residence
Defendant's Social Security Nu	umber: <u>UNKNOWN</u>	·
Defendant's Mailing Address:		

17%

UNKNOWN

Defendant's Residence Address:

	Number:	Martin Edward 88-0769-G-Crim		•	
Defo	ndant:	·		Judgmen	t - Page 2
	Case 3:07-0	cv-02236-JLS-LSP	Document 6	Filed 12/21/2007	Page 10 of 18
1		<del></del>		<del></del>	

Bureau of Prisons, to be imprisoned on the following counts as indicated:

ONE(1) OF S/S INDICTMENT - TWO HUNDRED AND TWELVE (212) MONTHS

TEN(10) OF S/S INDICTMENT- CONCURRENTLY WITH COUNT ONE(1) - TEN(10) YEARS

THIRTEEN(13) OF S/S INDICTMENT - SIXTY (60) MONTHS CONSECUTIVE TO COUNT ONE(1)

(NOTE: TOTAL PERIOD OF INCARCERATION TWO HUNDRED AND SEVENTY TWO(272) MONTHS.

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States

#### INMATE COPY

of

XX The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE PERIOD OF INCARCERATION IMPOSED BE SERVED IN A STATE INSTITUTION TO BE DESIGNATED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

XX	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.
<del></del>	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district
	at on
	as notified by the United States Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons.
	beforep.m. on
•	as notified by the United States Marshal.
	as notified by the U.S. Probation Department.
	RETURN
	I have executed this judgment as follows:
<del></del>	
	Dofondant delivered
~ 4-	Defendant delivered onto
at <u>.                                    </u>	, with a certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL
	By:

		Judgment	- Page3	of 5
Defendant: Martin Ed Case Number: 88-0769-G	ward Walters (1) G-Criminal	•		
	FINE WITH SPECIAL A	SSESSMENT	•	
\$ 150.00	l pay to the United			
Individual Cou	the totals of the fants as follows:	ines and asses	ssments imp	osed on
COUNT #1 of S/S Indictme				<b>A A B B B B</b>
COUNT #10 of S/S Indictm	ent- \$50.00		INMATE	COPY
COUNT #13 of S/S Indictm	ent- \$50.00			
TO	TAL-\$150.00			
This sum shall be	paid XXX immediate	<del>-</del>		
	uu 10110w		*• •	•
•				
			•	
The Court has determined to pay interest.	rmined that the def IT IS ORDERED THAT:	endant does no	t have the	ability
The interest requir	rement is waived.			
The interest requir	rement is modified	as follows:		

Document 6

Case 3:07-cv-02236-JLS-LSP

Filed 12/21/2007 Page 11 of 18

Judgment - Page 4 of 5

Defendant: Martin Edward Walters (1)

Case Number: 88-0769-G-Criminal

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release as follows:

COUNT #1 - THREE (3) YEARS.

COUNTS#1,10 & 13 ARE TO RUN CONCURRENTLY FOR A TOTAL SUPERVISED RELEASE PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS.

COUNT #10- THREE (3) YEARS.
COUNT #13- THREE (3) YEARS.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another Federal, State or local crime and shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court (Set forth following the additional conditions). If this judgment imposes a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release. The defendant shall comply with the following additional conditions.

XXX DEFENDANT SHALL NOT ENTER MEXICO WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COURT.

XXX the defendant shall not own or possess firearms, explosive devices or

- the defendant shall not own or possess firearms, explosive devices or dangerous weapons of any kind.
- the defendant shall submit to searches and seizures of his person, his personal effects, his residence or place of abode, any vehicle in his possession, conducted in a reasonable manner and at a reasonable time and place as requested by a Probation Officer.
- the defendant shall report all vehicles he owns, operates, or has an interest in to h Probation Officer.
- the defendant shall seek and maintain a program of full-time employment full-time education or a full-time combination of both.
- XX the defendant shall participate in a drug/alcohol abuse counseling and treatment program, including urinalysis testing as directed by his Probation Officer.
- if deported, formal supervision of probation is waived and the defendant shall not enter the United States unless he/she does so legally, and the defendant shall report to the U.S. Probation Department within twenty-four hours of hentry.
- the defendant shall make complete disclosure of his business and financial records to his Probation Officer as requested.
- the defendant is prohibited from opening checking accounts or incurring new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of h Probation Officer.
  - as directed by h Probation Officer, the defendant shall notify third parties at risk that may be occasioned by a criminal record or personal history and permit h Probation Officer to make such notification and to confirm h compliance with such notification requirement.

#### STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISON

- 1) the defendant shall not commit another Federal, State or local crime.
- 2) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the Court or Probation Department.
- 3) the defendant shall report to the Probation Officer as directed by the Court or Probation Department and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month.

Judgment	-	Page	5	of	5

Defendant: Martin Edward Walters (1)

Case Number: 88-0769-G-Criminal

## STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION (CONTINUE

- 4) The defendant shall answer truthfully all inquires by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer.
- 5) The defendant shall support his or her dependants and meet other family responsibilities.
- 6) The defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons.

7) The defendant shall notify the probation officer within 72 hours of

any change in residence or employment.

8) The defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotics or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician.

9) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances

are illegally sold, used, distributed or administered.

10) The defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in any criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer.

11) The defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit his or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer.

12) The defendant shall notify the probation officer within 72 hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.

13) The defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without

the permission of the Court.

14) As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

THESE CONDITIONS ARE IN ADDITION TO ANY OTHER CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY THIS JUDGMENT.

I hereby attast and cartify on 1-18that the foregoint ouccument is a full, true and correct copy of the pogetion on the in my office and in my legal custody.

WILLIAM W. LUDDY

CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**JANUARY 17, 1991** Date of Imposition of Sentence

Signature of Judicial Officer

EARL B. GILLIAM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Name & Title of Judicial Officer

Date: JANUARY 17, 1991

WILLIAM W. LUDDY, Clerk

Case 3:07-cv-02236-JLS-LSP Document 6 Filed 12/21/2007 Page 15 of 18

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

OR	103749	DA <u>B4651602</u>		AMENI	DED MINUTES	
DATE.	01-10-91		AT 10:00	A. M PROB	HEARING-SENTEN	CING/PLEA WITHDRAWAL HEARING
PRES	SENT: HONJ	ESUS RODRIGUEZ		JUDGE PRESIDIN	IG DEPARTMENT 00	D9
CLER	RK MAUREEN C	COLAHAN	REPORTER _	YVONNE MORE	40	
THE	PEOPLE OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORN	IA	E. MILLER	s. RUNNING	CLI
	WALTERS	MARTIN	E	L. AINBINDE	EPUTY DISTRICT ATT R PUB DEF	ORNEY
VIOL A	· ·	ENDANT 37(A)		ATTORNEY	FOR DEFENDANT (AF	PPT'D/RETAINED)
, D	DEFENDANT 🗓 NOX DEFENDANT ADV	PRESENT ARRAIGNED ISED OF RIGHTS AND (AL DENIED REVOKED	OMITS/DENIES) A VIOL	ATION OF PROBATION.	· _ ·	/EARS (FORMAL/SUMMARY)
PROBAT-ON	CONDITIONS OF PRO COMMITMENT TO FINE OF \$ RESTITUTION OF RESTITUTION/FIN PARTICIPATION IN FOURTH AMENDM		RE NOT LIMITED TO: S. ADULT INSTITUTION INLITY ASSESSMENT AT PRESTITUTION FUND AT HER GC 13967, XXXXXXX ROGRAM IN LIEU OF RES AUTO/RESIDENCE/PERS	NS RECOMMENDED.  \$ PER MONTH, C \$ PER MONTH, C  XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	PAROLE NOT TO BE GRA COMMENCING THR COMMENCING THR PC2085.5	NTED. OUGH REVENUE AND RECOVERY. OUGH REVENUE AND RECOVERY. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED
ŽM [	DEFENDANT IS ( (SEE BELOW FO	OMMITTED TO DEPARTMI I ADDITIONAL COUNTS) COMMITTED TO CALIFORI R FINDINGS) IDVISED OF APPEAL RIGI	NIA YOUTH AUTHORITY	. D PER W&I 707.2	□ PER W&I 1737 •	PAYS LOCAL  DAYS STATE INST.  385  DAYS PC 4019  1156 TAL DAYS CREDIT
SA C	DEFENDANT REMAIL  ON BOND POSTI  ON OWN RECOG  ON PROBATION	ED \$	DEFENDANT ORDER	□ WITH BAIL	SET AT \$	
FH C	D DEFENDANT WAIN	ES STATUTORY TIME FOR				M IN DEPTON MOTION OF
PG C	COURT/DDA/DEFENI	DANT/PROBATION OFFICER	R. REASON:			ATM IN DEPT
OND CRNT	🛘 BENCH WARRAI	NT TO ISSUE, BAIL SET ANT, ISSUED D. BOND AMOUNT RATED.	IS RE	CALLED.		AGENT
H E	PROCEEDINGS S	SUSPENDED PER: D PC				ATION AND HEARING.) IVICE OF PETITION AND ORDER.)
		RRED TO DEPT. OF REVE				
as as Cou	Court Exhib Court Exhib urt Exhibit	it 1, Copy of Su it 2, Copy of Pa 3. The Court de	pplemental Tranges 25-30 of Femiles the defenden	nscript of Proc ederal Case 88- iant's motion f	eedings Federal 0769 Transcript or withdrawal o	
Pro	obation Repo ntencing in	ing & Sentencing rt per GCl3967(c Federal Case 88- ral Institution.	). This judgment of the concurrence of the concurre	ent to be execu	ited on 1-18-91	or after the

ANING OF SECTIONS 969d and 12022.5 OF THE PENAL CODE.

10 FINDING MADE.

7	KENNETH E	Marie Pa	ï
---	-----------	----------	---

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

JAN 10346

FOR COMMITMI	OF JUDGMENT BY: S. TALBUTT, Deputy
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
PLAININ	DATE JANUARY 10, 1991 CASE NUMBER: CR 103749
VS	PRESENT
	HON_ JESUS RODRIGUEZ
MARTIN EDWARD WALTERS	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
DEFENDANT	EDWIN L. MILLER, Jr., District Attorney EVAN MILLER
	LARRY AINBINDER
	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
	MAUREEN COLAHAN
	YVONNE MORENO
	REPORTER
PROBATION HAVING BEEN HERETOFORE GRANTED AND SAID PROBATION FOR PROBATION IS DENIED. THE DEFENDANT	DBATION HAVING BEEN REVOKED ON
ount No. 8	WAIVES FORMAL ARRAIGNMENT).
GUILTY	WHEREAS THE SAID DEFENDANT ON HIS PLEA
	AL, ONCE IN LEOPARDY, NOT GUILTY BY READON OF RELARITY) having
	and the control of th
pen convicted by COURT of MURDER, 1st deg	ree
The second of the second is a	NY, INCLUDING FACT THAT IT CONSTITUTES A SECOND OR SUBSEQUENT, IF THAT AFFECTS THE SENTENCE)
VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 187, 1st	
ORDER DESCRIPTION 187, 181	G BECTION AND SUBSECTION THEREOF, IF ANY VIOLATED.)
	THE CO. IF ANY VIOLATED.)
AP CRIME COLUMNIA - 1000	
AR CRIME COMMITTED IS 1988	
DEFENDANT IS CREDITED FOR TIME SPENT IN CUSTODY, $1156$ TOT. TUAL LOCAL TIME $771$ PC 4019 CREDIT $385$ STATE INSTITUT	AL DAYS, INCLUDING:
	IONS TIME
COURT FINDS: OF INDING MADE.  OF THE DEFENDANT	
ACCOUNTING TO THE PROPERTY OF	ADEL
THE DEFENDANT	
THE DEFENDANT  THE OFFENSE WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTIONS 9690 and 12022 OF THE DEFENDANT  THE DEFENDANT	ARMED WITH A DEADLY WEAPON AT THE THAT OF HIS ORD HER

ARMED AT THE TIME OF HIS COMMISSION OF THE OFFENSE WITHIN

NOT APPLICABLE.

8347

n is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant be punished by imprisonment in the State Prison of the State of California for the term prescribed by law. It is ordered that the sentences shall be served in respect to one another as follows (CC or CS):

CONCURRENT

and in respect to any prior incomplete sentence(s) as follows (CC or CS):

CONCURRENT TO FEDERAL CASE 88-0769G. Defendant to be held in Federal Facility.

The Court ( DID NOT ) instruct the defendant of his right to appeal in accordance with Rule 250, California Rules of Court.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

JESUS RODRIGUEZ

I certify the foregoing to be a true and correct abstract of the Judgment made and entered on the Minutes of the Superior Court herein.

Dated: JANUARY 10, 1991

KENNETH E. MARTONE
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Deputy

SHARON TALBOTT

#### DECLARATION AND PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

أجراء أيمان وبالمحديد المحاص المحاجر المعادية بجها يتلكمني

of perjury that I am over the age of 18 years, (X) and not a to this action, and reside in Solano County, at P.O. Box 4000, (Cell # 206 up) Vacaville, California, 95696-4000.

That on <u>December</u>, <u>14</u>, 200<u>7</u>, I deposited in the United States Mail at California State Prison - Solano, Vacaville, California a copy of the attached hereof:

Motion for reconsideration and request to Proceed Per 28 U.S.C. 32241; with 3exhibits

in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, and addressed to:

Clerk of the U.S. District Court

Room 4290 880 FRONT St. SAN Diego CA. 92101-8900

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed on this <u>December</u>, <u>H</u>, 200<u>7</u>, at CSP-Solano, Vacaville, California, 95696-4000.

Matt Braden

DECLARANT